PRESS RELEASE

GAMBLEAWARE COMMISSIONED RESEARCH IDENTIFIES BEST PRACTICE TO MEASURE PREVALENCE OF GAMBLING HARMs ACROSS GREAT BRITAIN

● GambleAware has today released research it commissioned to determine best practices for estimating the level of gambling participation and prevalence of gambling harms in Great Britain.

● The research analysed eight surveys to investigate how methodological differences in survey design affect the accuracy of estimates of ‘gambling harms’.

● Analysis indicated that surveys conducted wholly or primarily online tend to overestimate the prevalence of gambling harms. The researchers recommend that future prevalence surveys should include a mix of both face-to-face and online methods.

● The research will be used to inform further methodological investigation as part of GambleAware’s annual GB Treatment & Support survey over the next few years, creating a strong evidence base for future treatment and support commissioning.

LONDON, 14th MAY 2021: GambleAware has today published commissioned research, authored by Professor Patrick Sturgis and Professor Jouni Kuha of the London School of Economics, which investigates how methodological differences between surveys affect the accuracy of estimates of gambling harms. The research was commissioned following a 2019 YouGov study which found substantially higher rates of gambling harms across Great Britain than had previously been reported by the 2016 and 2018 Health Surveys for England.

The research was commissioned to identify the best way to determine gambling participation and prevalence of gambling harms in Great Britain and to develop a better understanding of how methodological factors might account for the differences between the results of the YouGov study and the Health Survey for England’s results. The surveys reviewed in the report produced widely varying estimates of ‘problem gambling’ in Great Britain, indicated by a PGSI score of 8+, ranging from 0.7% to 2.4% of adults.

The research reviewed eight different surveys into gambling participation and prevalence of gambling harms to identify differences in results and what causes them. The key finding is that surveys using predominantly, or exclusively online self-completion responses produce

---

1 The criteria for PGSI classification as a ‘problem gambler’ is a score within the range of between 8 and 27.
2 The PGSI is the most widely used measure of problem gambling in Great Britain. It consists of nine items and each item is assessed on a four point scale; never, sometimes, most of the time, almost always.

---
consistently higher estimates of gambling harm compared to surveys which use paper self-completion techniques as part of a face-to-face interview.

The primary cause of this discrepancy was found to be selection bias in online surveys. Selection bias in this instance refers to the fact that online surveys skew towards people who are comfortable using online technologies and who use the internet regularly. These people are also more likely to be online and frequent gamblers, meaning online surveys tend to over-estimate gambling harm.

Given these findings, the researchers shared the following recommendations for future prevalence surveys:

1. Given the high and rising cost of in person surveys, measurement of gambling prevalence and harm should move to online surveying.

2. The move to online interviewing should be combined with a programme of methodological testing and development to mitigate selection bias.

3. In person surveying should not be ceased completely; probability sampling and face-to-face interviewing should be used to provide periodic benchmarks.

GambleAware commissioned this study to better understand the true demand for treatment and support for gambling harms across Great Britain and will use the findings of this study to inform and direct the future Annual Great Britain Treatment and Support surveys. Data from the surveys will continue to be used to update GambleAware’s interactive maps, which show in visual format the prevalence of gambling participation and harms at local authority and ward level across Great Britain.

Professor Patrick Sturgis, Department of Methodology at the London School of Economics, said: “Our research has found that online surveys tend to systematically overestimate the prevalence of gambling harm compared to face-to-face interview surveys. However, given the very high and rising cost of in person surveying, and the limits this places on sample size and the frequency of surveys, we recommend a shift to predominantly online data collection in future, supplemented by periodic in person benchmarks.”

Alison Clare, Research, Information and Knowledge Director at GambleAware, said: “We want our prevention, treatment, and support commissioning to be informed by the best available evidence, and having survey data we can be confident in, within the constraints of data collection in an increasingly online world, is key. GambleAware’s annual GB Treatment & Support survey is an important tool in building a picture of the stated demand for gambling harms support and treatment, and of the services, capacity and capability needed across Great Britain to meet that demand.
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About the research

- A study into the methodological factors affecting estimates of the prevalence of gambling harm in Great Britain. It is available to read on GambleAware’s website here.
- A multi-survey study of research commissioned by GambleAware into prevalence of gambling harms, conducted by Patrick Sturgis and Jouni Kuha, Department of Methodology at the London School of Economics.
- Surveys used include: the 2016 and 2018 rounds of the Health Survey for England, the 2019 and 2020 GambleAware Treatment and Support surveys carried out by YouGov, and specially commissioned surveys for the purposes of this study conducted in November and December 2020 by Yonder, NatCen, and Kantar Public. Additionally, Ipsos-MORI have kindly provided us with data from a survey that they collected for their own purposes in January 2021.
- Most of the surveys cover the whole of Great Britain, this report focuses on England only. This is because health survey estimates covering Great Britain are not available for 2018 and, while a combined GB data set was produced in 2016 (Conolly et al 2018), these micro-data are not publicly available. Like-for-like comparisons between surveys can therefore only be made for England.
- The multi-survey study reveals a pattern of systematic differences between the surveys, which underpin the differences in rates of gambling harms reported.

About GambleAware

- GambleAware is an independent charity (Charity No. England & Wales 1093910, Scotland SC049433) that champions a public health approach to preventing gambling harms – see http://about.gambleaware.org/.
- GambleAware is a commissioner of integrated prevention, education, and treatment services on a national scale, with over £40 million of grant funding under active management. In partnership with gambling treatment providers, GambleAware has spent several years methodically building structures for commissioning a coherent system of brief intervention and treatment services, with clearly defined care pathways and established referral routes to and from the NHS – a National Gambling Treatment Service.
- The National Gambling Treatment Service brings together a National Gambling Helpline and a network of locally-based providers across England, Scotland and Wales that works with partner agencies and people with lived experience to design and deliver a system, which meets the needs of individuals. This system delivers a range of treatment services, including brief intervention, counselling (delivered either face-to-face or online), residential programmes and psychiatrist-led care.
- GambleAware produces public health campaigns including Bet Regret. A Safer Gambling Board, is responsible for the design and delivery of a campaign based on best practice in public health education. The Bet Regret campaign is being funded through specific, additional donations to the charity, in line with a commitment given to the government by the broadcasting, advertising, and gambling industries. See https://about.gambleaware.org/prevention/safer-gambling-campaign/.